

1 Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
2 Lisa J. Lebove (State Bar No. 186705)
3 James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM
4 505 Montgomery, Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.500.6800
Facsimile: 415.500.6803

5 Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607)
6 Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716)
Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
7 Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643)
Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298)
8 Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928)
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
9 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
10 Telephone: 415.956.1000
Facsimile: 415.956.1008

11 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class*

12 [Additional counsel listed on signature page]

14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
19 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

20 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

21 ALL ACTIONS

Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

JOINT DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT

23
24 Pursuant to the Court's April 1, 2013 Case Management Order (Dkt. 380), the parties
25 submit this joint discovery status report.

26 **I. Status of Discovery**

27 No depositions have taken place since the April 19, 2013 Joint Discovery Status Report.
28 The deposition of Sheryl Sandberg (formerly of Google) remains outstanding.

1 **II. Salary Range and/or Market Reference Guideline**

2 The parties report the following updates to last week's Joint Discovery Status Report:

3 **Apple:** On April 19, 2013, in response to further inquiry by Plaintiffs regarding how
4 certain salary range documents identified by Apple might be used to determine which range
5 applied to which job titles or positions for each year in the Class Period, Apple wrote Plaintiffs a
6 letter asserting that its recommended salary ranges can be linked to a field in the actual employee
7 compensation data Apple had previously produced. With this piece of information, Plaintiffs
8 extrapolated from Apple's previously-produced data what appears to be a workable summary of
9 which salary ranges applied to which Apple job titles for each year in the Class Period and which
10 Apple job titles were covered by which Apple salary ranges for each year in the Class Period.
11 With this exercise complete, Plaintiffs contacted Apple today to request that Apple confirm the
12 accuracy of tables of job title and job grade data that Plaintiffs have prepared. Apple and
13 Plaintiffs are discussing this request.

14 **Intel:** The Joint Discovery Status Report filed by the parties on April 19, 2013 stated that
15 Intel had agreed to work with Plaintiffs to resolve issues Plaintiffs had raised regarding the
16 sufficiency of its production of compensation materials. This week, Intel similarly provided
17 Plaintiffs with information allowing Plaintiffs to extrapolate from Intel's previously-produced
18 data what appears to be a workable summary of which salary ranges applied to which Intel job
19 titles for each year in the Class Period and which Intel job titles were covered by which Intel
20 salary ranges for each year in the Class Period. With this exercise complete, Plaintiffs contacted
21 Intel today to request that Intel confirm the accuracy of tables of job title and job grade data
22 Plaintiffs have prepared. Intel is considering Plaintiffs' request.

23 **Lucasfilm:** After the parties met and conferred, Lucasfilm informed Plaintiffs today that it
24 was working to determine if Lucasfilm can compile certain information Plaintiffs have requested,
25 which would satisfy Plaintiffs. The parties agreed that Lucasfilm would advise Plaintiffs by 5:00
26 p.m. on Tuesday, April 30 as to the feasibility of compiling this information.

27

28

1 **Pixar**: Plaintiffs and Pixar have concluded their meet and confer process as to the
 2 production of documents related to salary and/or market pay ranges. There are no outstanding
 3 discovery disputes or issues to be decided.

4 **III. Steve Jobs Apple Town Hall Materials**

5 Since the last Joint Discovery Status Report, Plaintiffs followed up with Apple regarding
 6 video or audio recordings of the Apple town hall meetings for which it had previously produced
 7 transcripts. Apple has agreed to conduct a diligent search for any such video and/or audio
 8 recordings and produce them to Plaintiffs as soon as practicable.

9 **IV. Plaintiffs' Responses To Interrogatories 15 & 16**

10 Before the close of discovery, Defendants served Interrogatories 15 and 16, which among
 11 other things ask Plaintiffs to identify the precise agreements they are challenging and basic
 12 information about them including time periods, terms, persons making the agreements, and the
 13 parties to them. These interrogatories are not related to any Defendants' internal compensation
 14 practices. Plaintiffs agreed to answer the interrogatories, but asked for more time because
 15 discovery was ongoing, including deposition and document production. Defendants granted
 16 Plaintiffs' request for an extension to respond.

17 On April 12, 2013, Plaintiffs provided a partial response to Interrogatory 15, explaining
 18 that the response was being made "prematurely, before discovery is complete and before all
 19 documents, testimony, and evidence produced to date are able to be fully incorporated," and that
 20 the response "reflects discovery through March 1, 2013." In accordance with an agreement
 21 between the parties, Plaintiffs have not provided a response to Interrogatory 16 and have asked
 22 Defendants for more time to answer.

23 With fact discovery now closed, Defendants believe that Plaintiffs should now provide
 24 complete responses to Interrogatories 15 and 16.

25 In March 2013 alone, Plaintiffs received hundreds of thousands of new documents and
 26 took dozens of depositions, and even now, Plaintiffs continue to receive basic discovery from
 27 Defendants about their compensation structures. Plaintiffs are more than willing to meet any
 28 appropriate deadline on supplemental interrogatory responses, but believe that to date the request

1 for contention interrogatory responses has been premature. Setting all of that aside, Plaintiffs are
2 currently very busy preparing their Supplemental Class Certification papers and would request
3 that contention interrogatory responses follow the conclusion of that process.

4
5 Respectfully submitted,

6 Dated: April 26, 2013

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM

7 By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri
8 Joseph R. Saveri

9 Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
10 Lisa J. Lebove (State Bar No. 186705)
11 James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
12 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM
13 505 Montgomery, Suite 625
14 San Francisco, CA 94111
15 Telephone: 415. 500.6800
16 Facsimile: 415. 500.6803

17 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class*

18 Dated: April 26, 2013

19 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

20 By: /s/ Kelly M. Dermody
21 Kelly M. Dermody

22 Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607)
23 Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716)
24 Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
25 Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643)
26 Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298)
27 Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928)
28 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
Telephone: 415.956.1000
Facsimile: 415.956.1008

29 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class*

1 Dated: April 26, 2013

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2 By: /s/ Michael F. Tubach
3 Michael F. Tubach

4 George Riley
5 Michael F. Tubach
6 Lisa Chen
7 Christina J. Brown
8 Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
9 San Francisco, CA 94111
10 Telephone: (415) 984-8700
11 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701

12 *Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.*

13 Dated: April 26, 2013

14 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

15 By: /s/ Daniel Purcell
16 Daniel Purcell

17 John W. Keker
18 Daniel Purcell
19 Eugene M. Page
20 633 Battery Street
21 San Francisco, CA 94111
22 Telephone: (415) 381-5400
23 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

24 *Attorneys for Defendant LUCASFILM LTD.*

25 Dated: April 26, 2013

26 JONES DAY

27 By: /s/ David C. Kiernan
28 David C. Kiernan

29 Robert A. Mittelstaedt
30 Craig A. Waldman
31 David C. Kiernan
32 555 California Street, 26th Floor
33 San Francisco, CA 94104
34 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
35 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

36 *Attorneys for Defendant ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.*

1 Dated: April 26, 2013

JONES DAY

2

3 By: /s/ Robert A. Mittelstaedt
Robert A. Mittelstaedt

4

5 Robert A. Mittelstaedt
6 Craig E. Stewart
7 555 California Street, 26th Floor
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
10 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

11

12 Robert A. Mittelstaedt
13 Catherine T. Zeng
14 1755 Embarcadero Road
15 Palo Alto, CA 94303
16 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
17 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900

18 *Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.*

19

20 Dated: April 26, 2013

MAYER BROWN LLP

21

22 By: /s/ Lee H. Rubin
Lee H. Rubin

23

24 Lee H. Rubin
25 Edward D. Johnson
26 Donald M. Falk
27 Two Palo Alto Square
28 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 300
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
Telephone: (650) 331-2057
Facsimile: (650) 331-4557

29 *Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.*

30

31 Dated: April 26, 2013

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

32

33 By: /s/ Frank M. Hinman
34 Frank M. Hinman

35

36 Donn P. Pickett
37 Frank M. Hinman
38 Three Embarcadero Center
39 San Francisco, CA 94111
40 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
41 Facsimile: (415) 383-2286

42 *Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORPORATION*

43

44

1 Dated: April 26, 2013

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

2 By: /s/ *Emily Johnson Henn*
3 Emily Johnson Henn

4 Robert T. Haslam, III
5 Emily Johnson Henn
6 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700
Redwood City, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 632-4700

7 *Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR*

8 **ATTESTATION:** Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in
9 the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28